Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/965,489	CHU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cathy Lam	1775
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>Cathy Lam</u> .	(3)	
(2) Atty: David Fox.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 August 2004.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	r)⊠ applicant's representative	P]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.	
Claim(s) discussed:		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>the examiner proposed to claims 2 and 13.</u>	nature of what was agreed to incorporate claim 2 into clain	if an agreement was as 1 and claim 7. and cancel
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendr allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no co allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	DV of the amendments that w	eed would render the claims ould render the claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
		ú
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signa	ture if required
Patent and Trademark Office		.uro, ii roquiicu